INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL SURVEY PROGRAMME – NETHERLANDS 2009 & 2012

MODULE 2009: Social Inequality IV

MODULE 2012: Family & Gender Roles IV

Data documentation

Harry B.G. Ganzeboom [Principal Investigator]

Shashi Roopram [Fieldwork Manager]

First edition: June 22 2014

Table of contents

Study description
Questionnaire development and post-processing
General procedures
Fieldwork and response
Post-stratification weights

INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL SURVEY PROGRAMME NETHERLANDS – 2013 fieldwork

MODULE 2009: Social Inequality IV
MODULE 2012: Family & Gender Roles

STUDY DESCRIPTION

Study title:	"ISSP-NL 2009+2012: Familie en Sociale Ongelijkheid"
Fieldwork dates:	February–August 2013
PI:	Harry B.G. Ganzeboom Shashi Roopram (fieldwork manager)
Sample type	(Step 1:) Simple random address sample, (Step 2:) random date selection of household member (*)
Response:	29.9% (*)
Fieldwork agency	VU University Amsterdam
Fieldwork Methods	Postal survey
Sample size	1315
Language	Dutch
Weights	post-stratification (*)

(*) See further below.

The data will appear in the ISSP publicly released data as two independent data-files. The user should be aware that the cases are identical between these modules.

The complete data file (described in this documentation), including all the collected information on demography and social background, as well as the standardized ISSP variables has been archived at DANS [Data Archiving and Networked Services] in The Hague, the successor to the Steinmetz Archive. The appropriate bibliographic reference to this file will be:

Ganzeboom, Harry B.G. [principal investigator] & Shashi Roopram, "ISSP 2009 + 2012: Family and Social Inequality" [machine-readable data file]. The Hague: DANS. To be archived.

Introduction

The modules 2009 ("Social Inequality IV") and 2012 ("Family & Gender Roles IV") of the International Social Survey Programme in the Netherlands [ISSP-NL] were conducted in March-September 2013 as a stand-alone postal survey at VU University Amsterdam [VUA] (Faculty of Social Sciences, Department of Sociology) by Harry B.G. Ganzeboom [principal investigator] and Shashi Roopram [fieldwork manager]. Note that the complete dataset is more elaborate than the Dutch part of the international ISSP file, archived at GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, Cologne, Germany.

The data-collection followed very much the same methodology as for the ISSP-NL 2003&2004, ISSP-NL 2005&2006, ISSP-NL 2007&2008 and ISSP 2010&2011. The data collection process can be summarized as follows:

- The two ISSP questionnaires were combined in one single questionnaire. Data for the two modules share the same social background questions (starting with Z). The Social Inequality IV variables start with J, the Family & Gender Roles IV variables with I. These variables are generally numbered in the same way as the ISSP source questionnaires (but with exceptions, see below).
- Translation of the ISSP questionnaire documents was conducted by ourselves, in consultation with our Belgian ISSP colleague Ann Carton. The translation for the items of both modules was copied from earlier issues of the modules, when applicable. But again with exceptions, since we had to accommodate different earlier versions in the Netherland and Belgium.
- The standard ISSP questions are complemented with a large number of demographic variables, most of them on social mobility.
- A simple random sample was drawn from the complete list of addresses in the Netherlands, maintained by Cendris, a subsidiary of the national postal service. For about 72.7% of the addresses there is an associated family name and about 28.3% also has a phone number (matched with the national phone register). The sampling frame [i.e. the list of addresses] is known to be very accurate and complete.
- All respondents were approached using (A) advance letter, (B) first questionnaire, (C) first reminder by postcard, (D) second reminder by letter, (E) second questionnaire.
- All the questionnaires received were screened on completeness and double answers. All alphanumerical information was transferred to a coding file.
- The remaining (numerical) information was keypunched (single punching) by a professional agency (InDat). Some 40 randomly selected questionnaires were entered twice to check data entry accuracy.
- The alpha-numerical information for country of origin and occupations was coded using standard international classifications. Other string information (mostly 'other, specify' responses were given a unique numerical label.
- The key-punched data were checked and labelled and merged with the coded alpha-numerical information.
- A post-stratification weight was developed using (A) information from the sampling frame, (B) information from the household roster (C) comparison with election results. No other national benchmark was used.

Respons

Table 1 details the various steps taken to (re)approach the respondents and its results in terms of received questionnaires.

Throughout the fieldwork, respondents could call (in fact: call an answering machine) to ask further information, refuse participation or make comments. Once respondents had expressed non-willingness to participate, either by phone or (e-)mail, they were not contacted again. General information on the ISSP project and the data-collection was also provided on a website, that was referred to on the questionnaire and all the information sent to the respondent.

Table 1: Mailings and response pattern

Week	Midweek	Action	Event Date	Total	%	
1	02/19	Advance letter	26/02			
2	02/26	First questionnaire	26/02	0	0.0%	
3	03/05	Reminder postcard	07/03	432	32.9%	
4	03/12			711	54.1%	
5	03/19	Reminder letter	14/03	970	73.8%	
6	03/26	Second questionnaire	22/03	1028	78.2%	
7	04/02			1135	86.3%	
8	04/09			1261	95.9%	
9	04/16			1278	97.2%	
10	04/24			1292	98.3%	
11	05/01			1296	98.6%	
12	05/08			1298	98.7%	
13	05/15			1301	98.9%	
14	05/22			1304	99.2%	
18	06/19			1307	99.4%	
22	09/01			1315	100.0%	

Sample

The sample was drawn from the national addresses list of Cendris, a subsidiary of PostNL, the Dutch national postal agency. The specifications called for a random sample of N=4500. For over 72%, the addresses are associated with a family name – the remaining 28% were addressed as “To main occupant [hoofdbewoner]”.

Sampling within households was conducted by random date selection. The addresses were stratified in 12 random date groups and the addressee was invited to have the questionnaire completed by the household member whose birthday was first after the date specified.

Table 2: Response and non-response: three waves of ISSP-NL						
	2007&2008		2010&2011		2009&2012	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Initial sample	9000	100.0%	4500	100%	4500	100.0%
Undeliverable, occupant unable to answer	289	3.2%	125	2.8%	97	2.2%
Net sample	8711	100.0%	4384	100%	4403	100.0%
Valid response	**2843**	**32.6%**	**1472**	**33.6%**	**1315**	**29.9%**
Explicit refusal	983	11.3%	552	12.6%	578	13.1%
No response	4885	56.1%	2360	53.8%	2516	57.2%

Errors in questionnaire: Version 1 and Version 2

During fieldwork, a number of errors in the questionnaire were discovered, and in fact repaired before mailing the second questionnaire (Version 2).

I.21	Answer categories were incidentally copied from I.20. Many respondents noticed this and refused. This error was repaired in Version 2.
I.26 I.27	The answer for category 0 (Not applicable) was incidentally copied from I.25 and read: NA, no job. These questions are about family life and health.
I.1	I.1a and I.1b are copied from I.2a and I.2b. Few respondents actually noticed; correlations were over 0.80.
Z.27	Z.27 (Type of work organisation partner) was numbered in the questionnaire Z.26.
Z.42 Z.43	The answer categories did not list “Lijst 50+”, despite the fact that this party participated in the 2012 election and won 2 seats in parliament. The party in fact gained considerable popularity after the election and during the fieldwork period and many respondents used the write-in alternative to indicate their vote for this party. It was added in Version 2 of the questionnaire. Both versions of the data reflect the vote for Lijst 50+ adequately.

POST-STRATIFICATION WEIGHTS

There are three sources of information that can inform us about selectivity that occurs because of non-response, and can be used to post-stratify the data.

<u>Sample-frame information</u>

- Location of the sampled address. It is to be expected that response in urban areas, and in particular in the four major cities (Amsterdam, Rotterdam, The Hague, Utrecht) is lower than elsewhere.
- Name: for about 27% of the sample there was no access to a name of the inhabitants. This has decreased the response.
- Phone: for about 72% of the sample there was no access to a phone number. It is to be expected that not being listed in the phone-register is a strong correlate of willingness to participate.

- Foreign family name: Family names in the Netherlands can with considerable accuracy be classified as foreign and non-foreign. In particular Moroccan and Turkish names are easy to recognize, this is much harder for Surinamese and Antillean names. 3.8% of all addresses was deemed to be a 'foreign name'.

In 7 cases, the respondents had removed their identification number from the mailed back questionnaire, although they supplied useable information. These questionnaires cannot be connected to the sample frame information and thus cannot be post-stratified in this respect (and these respondents kept receiving reminders to the very end…).

Household box information

Selectivity may also occur within responding households. Each respondent was asked to complete a household box, which gives access to information of all household members with respect to:

- Gender
- Age
- Position in household
- Main activity
- Highest completed / current education.

In addition, we have information on:

- Total number of persons in household eligible for the sample (i.e. all household members of 18 and older).

Using this information, a synthetic population can be formed that consists of all household members in the designated age bracket (18-75+). The actual sample should be representative of this synthetic population. All this information was used to develop the second post-stratification weight (www2).

The weights have been constructed by taking the inverse of the expected probabilities from a logistic regression that predicted response from (A) sample frame characterics, (B) constructed sample from household roster.

Election result

Respondents report on their voting behavior at the most recent national election, which happened to have been on September 10 2012. Two population characteristics can be used as benchmark: turnout (75,4%), and the distribution of voting over parties. As in other surveys, voter turnout is considerably overestimated by the survey (at 88%), which is assumed to be caused by lower response to surveys among non-voters. Unlike in other ISSP-NL issues there was a significant difference between the population benchmark for one party, PVV (Lijst Wilders), which may be due to lower survey participation among the PVV-voters, or underreporting. The former seems the more plausible interpretation. The post-stratification weight www3 was developed by iterative proportional fitting.

Table 4: Marginal weights for selected categories (used to develop the weights)

	2007/2008	**2010/2011**	**2009/2012**
URBANIZATION*	**Weight**	**Weight**	**Weight**
1 minder dan 5000 inwoners	0.925	0.686	0.851
2 5000 - < 10000 inwoners	0.909	0.916	0.851

3 10000 - < 20000 inwoners	0.938	0.907	0.912
4 20000 - < 50000 inwoners	0.973	0.983	0.956
5 50000 - < 100000 inwoners	1.017	0.933	1.012
6 100000 - < 150000 inwoners	1.053	1.013	0.977
7 150000 - < 250000 inwoners	1.020	1.083	1.027
8 250000 inwoners of meer	1.183	1.448	1.231
NAME*	**Weight**	**Weight**	**Weight**
No name	.953		0.941
With name	1.108	1.048	1.219
FOREIGN NAME*	**Weight**	**Weight**	**Weight**
Dutch name	.983		0.978
Foreign name	1.913	2.736	2.648
PHONE*	**Weight**	**Weight**	**Weight**
No phone listed	1.117	1.112	1.077
Phone listed	.861	0.770	0.851
AGE **	**Weight**	**Weight**	**Weight**
18-24	1.581	2.599	2.489
25-34	1.060	0.985	1.035
35-44	0.975	0.980	0.966
45-54	0.984	0.974	1.005
55-64	0.950	0.954	0.947
65-74	0.955	0.898	0.869
75-hi	0.931	0.878	0.913
EDUCATION**	**Weight**	**Weight**	**Weight**
1 Basisonderwijs	1.108	1.338	1.053
2 LBO-VBO-VMBOb	1.094	1.121	1.010
3 MAVO-MULO-VMBOt	0.962	0.967	0.973
4 HAVO-MMS	1.192	1.001	1.236
5 VWO-HBS-Athen-Gymn	1.038	0.995	1.394
6 kMBO	1.055	1.013	1.130
7 MBO	0.980	1.037	0.979
8 HBO	0.936	0.869	0.829
9 Universiteit	0.915	0.937	0.934
MAIN ACTIVITY**	**Weight**	**Weight**	**Weight**
1 Employed-full time	1.034	0.970	0.956
2 Employed-part time	0.936	1.065	0.956
3 Employed < part-time	0.929	1.113	0.956
4 Helping family member	0.917	0.811	0.956
5 Unemployed	1.239	1.070	1.216
6 Student,school,vocat.training	1.350	1.547	2.343
7 Retired	0.925	0.872	0.889
8 Housewife,-man,home duties	0.962	1.080	0.988
9 Permanently disabled	1.176	2.099	1.143
10 Other, not in labour force	0.915	0.894	n.a.
HHPOS**	**Weight**	**Weight**	**Weight**
1 Child	1.739	2.769	2.482
2 Parent	0.939	1.011	0.890
5 Other	1.023	1.292	2.637
6 Single hh member	1.050	1.062	1.049
7 Partner in couple hh	0.944	0.862	0.890

Sources: * Sampling frame, ** Household box

In summary, it can be said that one group was severely underrepresented in our effective sample: young adults, in particular in as far as they live in their parents' households. However, the highest marginal weight (2.6) is generated for respondents with foreign names. Note on the other hand, that the obtained sample is fairly representative with respect to education and main activity, even before post-stratification.

Variables list with descriptives

POS	VARNAME	VARIABLE LABEL	FORMAT	VALID N	MEAN	SD	MIN	MAX
BACKGROUND VARIABLES PART 1								
1	Respnr	Number respondent	F11	1314	1003	5508	3318.98	1307.961
2	CASEID	Unique ID respondent	F8	1314	1003	5508	3318.98	1307.961
3	Version	Edition of questionnaire	F6.2	1314	1.00	2.00	1.1857	.38901
4	laat	Questionnaire later entered	F1	1314	0	1	.02	.134
5	noname	No name in sampling frame	F8	1307	0	1	.22	.415
6	notel	No phone number in sampling frame	F8	1307	0	1	.67	.471
7	foreign	Foreign name	F8	1314	0	1	.02	.131
8	MAAND	Selection month for within-HH selection method	A12					
9	pc4	Postal code - four digits	F8	1307	1012	9992	4736.05	2502.013
10	provincie	Province	F8	1307	1	12	7.71	2.936
11	Gemeente	Community	A30					
12	gemcode	Community code	F11	1307	3	1955	664.39	531.425
13	gemgroot	Size of community	F11	1307	1	8	5.05	1.543
14	corop	Corop [NUTS3] area	F11	1307	1	40	23.01	10.435
15	urba	Urbanization	F11	1307	1	5	2.79	1.266
16	RESPONSE_DATUM	<none>	DATE11	1314	28-FEB-2013	01-SEP-2013	16-MAR-2013	18 11:45:58 .140
17	www1	Weight based on sampling frame	F5.3	1314	.711	3.271	1.00000	.255519
18	www2	Weight based on household composition	F5.3	1314	.676	4.798	1.00000	.380796
19	www3	Weight based on political participation	F5.3	1314	.288	2.204	1.00007	.497221
20	typist	Data entry typist	A10					
21	Z01	Resp: Sex	F11	1314	1	2	1.54	.499
22	Z02a	Resp: Month of Birth	F11	1247	1	12	6.24	3.318
23	Z02b	Resp: Year of Birth	F11	1314	1912	1995	1958.04	16.595
24	Z03a	Resp: Cohabitation status	F11	1293	1	3	1.58	.873
25	Z03b	Resp: Official marital status	F11	1294	1	6	2.61	2.088
26	Z05	Year of Birth Partner	F11	986	1917	1994	1958.04	15.267
27	Z06	Years of living together with partner	F5.1	912	0.0	63.0	26.676	16.0541
28	Z07a1	Father: Country of Birth	F11	1229	1	6	1.15	.771
29	Z07b1	Mother: Country of Birth	F11	1227	1	6	1.16	.776
30	Z07c1	Resp: Country of Birth	F11	1232	1	6	1.13	.687
31	Z07d1	Partner: Country of Birth	F11	942	1	6	1.14	.752
32	z07vx	Father: Other country of birth [ISO]	A2					
33	z07mx	Mother: Other country of birth [ISO]	A2					
34	z07ux	Resp: Other country of birth [ISO]	A2					
35	z07px	Partner: Other country of birth [ISO]	A2					

36	Z07a2	Father: Year of Immigration	F11	55	1905	2002	1961.02	19.587
37	Z07b2	Mother: Year of Immigration	F11	58	1899	1991	1960.33	20.015
38	Z07c2	Resp: Year of Immigration	F11	76	1913	2012	1979.49	17.895
39	Z07d2	Partner: Year of Immigration	F11	77	1935	2013	1985.01	18.126
40	z08	Place of birth	F6	1281	0	9999	449.99	396.530
41	Z09	Place of Birth: Size	F11	1298	1	5	2.80	1.283
42	Z10	Place of Living: Size	F11	1295	1	5	3.00	1.147
43	Z11a	Resp: Highest completed education	F11	1293	1	12	6.32	2.795
44	Z11b	Partner: Highest completed education	F11	1080	0	12	5.38	3.245
45	Z12a	Resp: Education currently enrolled	F11	842	0	12	.98	2.822
46	Z12b	Partner: Education currently enrolled	F11	690	0	12	.57	2.249
47	Z13a	Resp: Years of education including primary	F11	1277	1	40	14.24	4.340
48	Z13b	Part: Years of education including primary	F11	919	0	30	13.52	4.131
49	Z14a	Resp: Main activity	F11	1276	0	7	3.14	2.453
50	Z14ux	Resp: Other Main Activity, specify	F6	22	-2	13	6.09	4.888
51	Z14b	Partner: Main activity	F11	1067	0	7	2.62	2.530
52	Z14px	Part: Other Main Activity, specify	F6	26	-2	13	5.23	4.033
53	Z15	Number of HH members including Resp and Partner	F11	1275	1	10	2.40	1.275
54	Z16B1	Relation HH member #1 to Resp	F11	458	1	5	1.23	.760
55	Z16B2	Relation HH member #2 to Resp	F11	315	1	5	1.22	.690
56	Z16B3	Relation HH member #3 to Resp	F11	117	1	5	1.64	1.148
57	Z16B4	Relation HH member #4 to Resp	F11	35	1	5	2.00	1.283
58	Z16B5	Relation HH member #5 to Resp	F11	15	1	5	2.73	1.438
59	Z16B6	Relation HH member #6 to Resp	F11	8	1	5	3.00	1.512
60	Z16B7	Relation HH member #7 to Resp	F11	4	1	5	3.75	1.893
61	Z16B8	Relation HH member #8 to Resp	F11	1	5	5	5.00	
62	Z16C1	Sex HH member #1	F11	467	1	2	1.44	.497
63	Z16C2	Sex HH member #2	F11	312	1	2	1.51	.501
64	Z16C3	Sex HH member #3	F11	115	1	2	1.43	.497
65	Z16C4	Sex HH member #4	F11	32	1	2	1.53	.507
66	Z16C5	Sex HH member #5	F11	15	1	2	1.60	.507
67	Z16C6	Sex HH member #6	F11	7	1	2	1.57	.535
68	Z16C7	Sex HH member #7	F11	4	1	2	1.75	.500
69	Z16C8	Sex HH member #8	F11	2	1	2	1.50	.707
70	Z16D1	Age HH member #1	F11	443	0	77	18.03	14.441
71	Z16D2	Age HH member #2	F11	295	0	81	16.29	14.303
72	Z16D3	Age HH member #3	F11	114	0	78	14.08	12.022

73	Z16D4	Age HH member #4	F11	32	0	54	15.66	13.321
74	Z16D5	Age HH member #5	F11	14	4	43	15.43	10.574
75	Z16D6	Age HH member #6	F11	8	1	40	15.63	12.247
76	Z16D7	Age HH member #7	F11	4	1	28	16.75	11.325
77	Z16D8	Age HH member #8	F11	1	19	19	19.00	
78	Z16E1	Main activity HH member #1	F11	475	1	8	5.66	2.353
79	Z16E2	Main activity HH member #2	F11	317	1	8	5.97	2.123
80	Z16E3	Main activity HH member #3	F11	117	1	7	5.97	2.183
81	Z16E4	Main activity HH member #4	F11	33	1	7	6.30	1.912
82	Z16E5	Main activity HH member #5	F11	15	1	7	5.87	2.356
83	Z16E6	Main activity HH member #6	F11	8	2	7	6.38	1.768
84	Z16E7	Main activity HH member #7	F11	4	7	8	7.25	.500
85	Z16E8	Main activity HH member #8	F11	2	5	7	6.00	1.414
86	Z16F1	Education HH member #1	F11	411	1	8	3.87	2.404
87	Z16F2	Education HH member #2	F11	274	1	8	3.36	2.304
88	Z16F3	Education HH member #3	F11	99	1	8	3.40	2.543
89	Z16F4	Education HH member #4	F11	27	1	8	3.52	2.548
90	Z16F5	Education HH member #5	F11	13	1	8	2.85	2.544
91	Z16F6	Education HH member #6	F11	6	1	8	4.33	3.386
92	Z16F7	Education HH member #7	F11	3	3	8	6.33	2.887
93	Z16F8	Education HH member #8	F11	2	3	7	5.00	2.828
ISSP 2012 VARIABLES								
94	I1a	Q1a Workg mom: warm relation child ok	F11	1276	1	8	2.64	1.360
95	I1b	Q1b Workg mom: Preschool child suffers	F11	1276	1	8	3.48	1.382
96	I1c	Q1c Workg woman: Family life suffers	F11	1278	1	8	3.31	1.365
97	I1d	Q1d Workg woman: What women really want is home and kids	F11	1274	1	8	3.98	1.387
98	I1e	Q1e Workg woman: Household satisfies as much as paid job	F11	1278	1	8	3.60	1.597
99	Xi2a	Q2a Both should contribute to hh income [DO NOT USE]	F11	1277	1	8	2.36	1.072
100	Xi2b	Q2b Men's job is work,women's job household [DO NOT USE]	F11	1278	1	8	3.87	1.119
101	I2a	Q2a Both should contribute to hh income	F11	1272	1	8	2.43	1.145
102	I2b	Q2b Men's job is work,women's job household	F11	1269	1	8	3.87	1.156
103	I3a	Q3a Shld women work: Child under school age	F11	1272	1	8	2.76	1.748
104	I3b	Q3b Shld women work: Youngest kid at school	F11	1270	1	8	2.43	1.885
105	I4a	Q4a Marriage: Married people generally happier	F11	1276	1	8	3.96	1.891

106	I4b	Q4b Marriage: Better, if people want kids	F11	1277	1	8	3.56	1.306
107	I4c	Q4c Marriage: Couple livg togeth without marriage ok	F11	1274	1	8	2.18	1.267
108	I4d	Q4d Marriage: Divorce best solution w marr. Problems	F11	1277	1	8	2.80	1.543
109	I5a	Q5a Single parent can raise child as well	F11	1280	1	8	3.08	1.372
110	I5b	Q5b Same sex female couple can raise child as well	F11	1277	1	8	2.62	1.511
111	I5c	Q5c Same sex male couple can raise child as well	F11	1281	1	8	2.76	1.549
112	I6	Q6 Ideal number: Children	F11	1082	0	20	2.44	.990
113	I7a	Q7a Children: Watching grow up is greatest joy	F11	1279	1	8	2.45	1.650
114	I7b	Q7b Children: Interfere parents freedom	F11	1274	1	8	3.77	1.168
115	I7c	Q7c Children: Financial burden	F11	1273	1	8	3.47	1.228
116	I7d	Q7d Children: Restrict career chances	F11	1273	1	8	3.66	1.365
117	I7e	Q7e Children: Increase social standing	F11	1271	1	8	3.29	1.500
118	I7f	Q7f Children: Adult ch. Help for elderly parents	F11	1275	1	8	2.96	1.409
119	I8	Q8 Paid leave: Yes, how long - no	F11	1103	0	60	5.53	6.454
120	I9	Q9 Paid leave: Who should pay	F11	847	1	8	2.88	1.553
121	I10	Q10 Paid leave: How to divide between parents	F11	851	1	8	2.79	1.621
122	I11a	Q11a Child under school age: Best parental childcare option	F11	1263	1	8	3.10	1.803
123	I11b	Q11b Child under school age: Least desirable parental childcare	F11	1273	1	8	3.69	2.084
124	I12	Q12 Child under school age: Provider of childcare	F11	1272	1	8	3.75	2.202
125	I13	Q13 Child under school age: Covering childcare costs	F11	1275	1	8	2.05	1.997
126	I14	Q14 Elderly people: Provider of domestic help	F11	1265	1	8	2.57	2.150
127	I15	Q15 Elderly people: Covering domestic help costs	F11	1274	1	8	2.37	2.068
128	I16a	Q16a How many hours spend on hh work	F11	1230	0	100	11.20	8.992
129	I16b	Q16b How many hours spend on family members	F11	1151	0	168	9.63	16.601
130	I17a	Q17a How many hrs spouse, partner works on hh	F11	856	0	99	10.88	10.764
131	I17b	Q17b How many hrs spouse, partner spends on family members	F11	820	0	168	8.92	14.970
132	I18	Q18 Sharing of income between partners	F11	890	1	5	3.22	.930
133	I19a	Q19a Division of hh work: Doing the laundry	F11	929	1	8	2.82	1.653
134	I19b	Q19b Division of hh work: Small repairs	F11	921	1	8	2.92	1.434

135	I19c	Q19c Division of hh work: Care for sick fam. Members	F11	886	1	8	3.67	2.090
136	I19d	Q19d Division of hh work: Shops for groceries	F11	927	1	8	2.80	1.195
137	I19e	Q19e Division of hh work: HH cleaning	F11	926	1	8	3.07	1.581
138	I19f	Q19f Division of hh work: Preparing meals	F11	925	1	8	2.84	1.347
139	I20	Q20 Sharing of hh work between partners	F11	881	1	5	2.80	1.250
140	I21	Q21 Final say: Choosing weekend activities	F11	657	1	5	2.89	.976
141	I22	Q22 Who has the higher income	F11	885	1	8	3.89	1.664
142	I23a	Q23a Too tired from work to do duties at home	F11	1232	0	4	1.82	1.614
143	I23b	Q23b Difficult to ulfill fam. Responsibility	F11	1218	0	4	1.93	1.673
144	I23c	Q23c Too tired from hh work to function in job	F11	1215	0	4	2.38	1.909
145	I23d	Q23d Difficult to concentrate at work	F11	1216	0	4	2.24	1.852
146	I24	Q24 Life in general: How happy on the whole	F11	1274	1	8	2.74	1.030
147	I25	Q25 How satisfied with main job	F11	1261	0	8	1.70	1.557
148	I26	Q26 How satisfied with family life	F11	1267	0	8	2.16	1.226
149	I27	Q27 Health status	F11	1282	0	8	2.84	1.048
150	I28	Q28 Mother ever workg for pay before R 14	F11	1277	1	8	1.91	1.250
151	I29a	Q29a R worked outside: Kid under school age	F11	1235	1	8	3.43	2.870
152	I29b	Q29b R worked outside: Youngest kid at school	F11	1216	1	8	3.70	3.065
153	I30a	Q30a Spouse worked outside: Kid under school age	F11	1231	1	8	3.53	2.898
154	I30b	Q30b Spouse worked outside: Youngest kid at school	F11	1209	1	8	3.81	3.058
155	I31	Q31 Who makes decisions how to raise kids	F11	1250	1	8	4.32	2.288
156	I32	Q32 Spouse, partner degree: Highest qualification	F8	1290	0	99	13.14	27.629
157	I33	Q33 Spouse, partner: Age	F8	986	19	96	54.96	15.267
158	I34	Q34 Duration of relationship	F8	912	0	63	26.68	16.054
ISSP 2009 VARIABLES								
159	J1a	Q1a Getting ahead: How important is coming from a wealthy family?	F1	1223	1	5	3.88	.878
160	J1b	Q1b Getting ahead: How important is having well-educated parents?	F1	1248	1	5	3.15	.892
161	J1c	Q1c Getting ahead: How important is having a good education yourself?	F1	1267	1	5	2.41	.764
162	J1d	Q1d Getting ahead: How Important is having ambition?	F1	1256	1	5	2.50	.820

163	J1e	Q1e Getting ahead: How important is hard work?	F1	1256	1	5	2.47	.788
164	J1f	Q1f Getting ahead: How important is knowing the right people?	F1	1262	1	5	2.55	.789
165	J1g	Q1g Getting ahead: How important is having political connections?	F1	1101	1	5	3.53	1.095
166	J1h	Q1h Getting ahead: How important is giving bribes?	F1	990	1	5	4.69	.735
167	J1i	Q1i Getting ahead: How important is a person's race?	F1	1218	1	5	4.29	.928
168	J1j	Q1j Getting ahead: How important is a person's religion?	F1	1225	1	5	4.24	.988
169	J1k	Q1k Getting ahead: How important is being born a man or a woman?	F1	1201	1	5	4.20	.962
170	J2a	Q2a Getting ahead: To get all the way to the top in <Rs country> today, you have to be corrupt.	F1	1178	1	5	3.82	1.142
171	J2b	Q2b Getting ahead: In <Rs country> only students from the best secondary schools have a good chance to obtain a universi	F1	1104	1	5	3.49	1.122
172	J2c	Q2c Getting ahead: In <Rs country> only the rich can afford the costs of attending university.	F1	1209	1	5	3.24	1.124
173	J2d	Q2d Getting ahead: In <Rs country> people have the same chances to enter university, regardless of their gender, ethnici	F1	1169	1	5	2.35	.951
174	J3	Q3 Just pay: Would you say you earn much less or much more than deserved?	F1	1091	1	6	2.72	.841
175	J4a	Q4a Actually earn: About how much do you think a doctor in general practice earns?	F1	1194	800	750000	7343.49	26045.835
176	J4b	Q4b Actually earn: How much do you think a chairman of a large national corporation earns?	F1	1191	350	750000	21059.24	44731.349
177	J4c	Q4c Actually earn: How much do you think a shop assistant earns?	F1	1203	100	50000	1665.03	2582.711
178	J4d	Q4d Actually earn: How much do you think an unskilled worker in a factory earns?	F1	1201	100	40000	1585.39	2296.113
179	J4e	Q4e Actually earn: How much do you think a cabinet minister in the <national> government earns?	F1	1192	600	900000	15114.62	35783.913
180	J5a	Q5a Should earn: About how much do you think a doctor in general practice should earn?	F1	1161	1400	300000	6402.50	13028.546

181	J5b	Q5b Should earn: How much do you think a chairman of a large national company should earn?	F1	1158	550	400000	12117.36	25784.352
182	J5c	Q5c Should earn: How much do you think a shop assistant should earn?	F1	1167	200	50000	1937.75	2809.087
183	J5d	Q5d Should earn: How much do you think an unskilled worker in a factory should earn?	F1	1167	600	50000	1842.82	2676.179
184	J5e	Q5e Should earn: How much do you think a cabinet minister in the <national> government should earn?	F1	1154	0	500000	11162.27	23914.676
185	J6a	Q6a Differences in income in <Rs country> are too large.	F1	1238	1	5	2.28	1.020
186	J6b	Q6b It is the responsibility of the government to reduce the differences in income between people with high incomes and	F1	1254	1	5	2.37	1.111
187	J6c	Q6c The government should provide a decent standard of living for the unemployed.	F1	1250	1	5	2.55	.938
188	J6d	Q6d The government should spend less on benefits for the poor.	F1	1223	1	5	3.25	.998
189	J7a	Q7a Tax: Do you think people with high incomes should pay a larger share of their income in taxes than those with low i	F1	1257	1	5	2.03	.590
190	J7b	Q7b Tax: Generally, how would you describe taxes in <Rs country> today for those with high incomes?	F1	1180	1	5	2.08	.732
191	J8a	Q8a Just or unjust - that people with higher incomes can buy better health care than people with lower incomes?	F3	1275	1	5	4.00	1.065
192	J8b	Q8b Just or unjust - that people with higher incomes can buy better education for children than people with lower income	F2	1270	1	5	4.06	1.023
193	J9a	Q9a Conflicts: between poor people and rich people?	F1	1178	1	4	2.89	.623
194	J9b	Q9b Conflicts: between the working class and the middle class	F1	1180	1	4	3.15	.550
195	J9c	Q9c Conflicts: between management and workers?	F1	1178	1	4	2.78	.560
196	J9d	Q9d Conflicts: between people at the top of society and people at the bottom?	F1	1153	1	4	2.64	.714
197	J10a	Top-bottom placement NOW	F3	1259	1	10	6.12	1.767
198	J10b	Top-bottom placement When you were growing up	F3	1258	1	10	5.70	1.905

199	J11	Q11 Level of status of Rs job compared to the job of father	F1	1269	1	7	2.72	1.119
200	J12a	Q12a Important for pay: How much responsibility goes with the job?	F1	1263	1	5	2.08	.711
201	J12b	Q12b Important for pay: the number of years spent in education and training?	F1	1260	1	5	2.72	.847
202	J12c	Q12c Important for pay: what is needed to support a family?	F1	1244	1	5	2.88	.999
203	J12d	Q12d Important for pay: whether the person has children to support?	F1	1226	1	5	2.96	1.026
204	J12e	Q12e Important for pay: how well he or she does the job?	F1	1259	1	5	2.04	.684
205	J12f	Q12f Important for pay: How hard he or she works at the job?	F1	1259	1	5	2.28	.758
206	J13	Q13 Is your pay just?	F1	1217	1	6	2.56	.830
207	J14a	Q14a Type of society: What type of society is <Rs country> today – which diagram comes closest?	F1	1240	1	5	2.88	1.027
208	J14b	Q14b Type of society: What do you think <Rs country> ought to be like – which would you prefer?	F1	1236	1	5	3.71	.796
209	J17	Q17 About how many books were there around your family's house when you were <14-15-16> years old?	F1	1280	1	9	4.89	1.950
210	J20	Q20 Which social class would you say you belong to?	F1	1229	1	5	3.73	1.040
211	J21a	Q21a How much money would be left if the home you and your family live in was sold without any debts?	F5	1158	1	99	19.74	35.118
212	J21b	Q21b About how much money would be left if you and/or your immediate family converted to cash all savings?	F5	1177	1	99	20.62	36.506
213	J24a	Q24a Describe yourself: I work hard to complete my daily tasks	F1	1184	1	4	1.73	.610
214	J24b	Q24b Describe yourself: I perform to the best of my ability	F2	1205	1	4	1.75	.511
215	J24c	Q24c Describe yourself: I work hard to maintain my performance on a task	F2	1157	1	4	1.85	.566
216	J25a	Q25a Describe yourself as <14-15-16> years old: I tried hard to go to school everyday	F2	1221	1	4	1.89	.665
217	J25b	Q25b Describe yourself as <14-15-16> years old: I performed to the best of my ability	F2	1236	1	4	2.06	.703

218	J25c	Q25c Describe yourself as <14-15-16> years old: I worked hard to maintain my performance on a school assignment	F2	1197	1	4	2.15	.726
BACKGROUND VARIABLES PART II								
219	Z17	Resp: Employer type first job	F11	1252	1	5	1.92	.941
220	Z18a	Resp: First Occupation - precoded	F11	1240	1	9	4.75	1.997
221	z18_isko	Resp: First occupation [ISCO-88]	F6	1314	0	9333	4198.94	2403.621
222	Z19	Start year of first job	F11	1232	1929	2013	1978.35	17.449
223	Z20	First job: Number of working hours	F11	1230	0	90	39.04	11.126
224	Z21	First job: Number of persons supervised in current/last job	F11	1227	0	4	.35	.893
225	Z22	Resp: Employer type current/last job	F11	1220	1	5	2.00	1.079
226	Z23a	Resp: Current/Last Occupation - precoded	F11	1220	1	9	4.17	2.055
227	z23_isko	Resp: Current-last occupation [ISCO-88]	F6	1314	0	9333	3408.94	2386.878
228	Z24a	Start year of current/last job	F11	1202	1930	2013	1993.22	16.671
229	Z24b	End year of last job	F11	507	1940	2013	1998.90	13.641
230	Z25	Current/last job: Number of working hours	F11	1208	0	99	35.76	13.991
231	Z26	Resp: Number of persons supervised in current/last job	F11	1207	0	4	1.09	1.467
232	Z27	Partner: Employer type current/last job	F11	962	1	5	2.13	1.077
233	Z28a	Partner: Current/Last Occupation - precoded	F11	954	1	9	4.33	2.122
234	z28_isko	Partner: Current-last occupation [ISCO-88]	F6	1314	0	9333	2796.02	2692.974
235	Z29	Partner: Number of working hours	F11	923	0	90	35.66	12.276
236	Z30	Partner: Number of persons supervised in current/last job	F11	946	0	4	.87	1.374
237	Z31a	Father: Highest completed education	F11	1253	1	8	3.10	2.237
238	Z31b	Mother: Highest completed education	F11	1248	1	8	2.58	1.876
239	Z32a	Father: Main activity when Resp 15 or earlier	F11	1239	0	8	1.18	.893
240	Z32b	Mother: Main activity when Resp 15 or earlier	F11	1221	1	8	5.03	2.792
241	Z33	When did mother stop working?	F11	1223	1	5	2.56	1.358
242	Z34a	Father: Employer type when Resp 15 or earlier	F11	1212	1	5	2.33	1.161
243	Z34b	Mother: Employer type when Resp 15 or earlier	F11	664	1	5	2.84	1.483
244	Z35a1	Father: Occupation when Resp 15 or earlier - precoded	F11	1199	1	9	5.14	2.433
245	Z35a2	Mother: Occupation when Resp 15 or earlier - precoded	F11	664	1	9	5.59	2.312

246	z35v_isko	Father: occupation resp age 15 [ISCO-88]	F6	1314	0	9333	4017.85	3029.247
247	z35m_isko	Mother: occupation resp age 15 [ISCO-88]	F6	1314	0	9322	2157.11	2910.069
248	Z36a	Father: Number of persons supervised when Resp 15 or earlier	F11	1211	0	4	1.28	1.543
249	Z36b	Mother: Number of persons supervised when Resp 15 or earlier	F11	1013	0	4	.28	.788
250	Z37a	Resp: Net monthly income	F11	1232	0	13	5.42	3.243
251	Z37b	Hhold: Net monthly income	F11	1036	0	13	8.07	3.526
252	z38a	Religious denomination	F11	1266	0	12	1.29	1.997
253	z38b	Protestant denomination - origin	F11	240	1	3	1.34	.516
254	Z38x	Other Religion, specify	F6	44	-2	27	13.39	9.339
255	Z40	Religious service - how often	F11	1266	1	98	8.29	14.354
256	Z41	Union membership	F11	1274	1	3	2.38	.804
257	z42	Which party voted for in last election	F11	1267	1	14	4.64	3.944
258	Z42x	Other Party, specify	F6	32	2	96	29.53	31.993
259	z43	Which party would you vote for today	F11	1255	1	14	6.15	4.480
260	Z43x	Other Party, specify	F6	148	-2	96	47.24	40.385
ISSP STANDARD BACKGROUND VARIABLES								
261	NL_REG	Region [NUTS3] current location	F8	1314	1	99	23.41	11.787
262	NL_SIZE	Size of place of residence	F8	1307	1	8	5.05	1.543
263	URBRURAL	Urbanization current location	F8	1314	1	9	3.09	1.345
264	SEX	Gender	F8	1314	1	2	1.54	.499
265	AGE	Age at time of survey	F8	1314	18	101	55.21	16.585
266	BIRTH	Year of Birth	F8	1314	1912	1995	1958.04	16.595
267	PARTLIV	Lives with partner	F8	1314	1	9	1.70	1.272
268	cohab	<none>	F8	1314	0	9	.84	1.385
269	MARITAL	Official marital statis	F8	1314	1	9	2.78	2.242
270	F_BORN	Father: country of birth	F8	1277	4	792	522.32	64.503
271	M_BORN	Mother: country of birth	F8	1275	4	862	521.83	70.079
272	NL_ETHN1	Ethnic identity	F8	1314	1	99	5.63	20.615
273	ETHNIC	<none>	F8	0				
274	NL_DEGR	Education categories - Netherlands	F8	1314	1	99	8.09	11.358
275	DEGREE	R: Education II-highest education level	F8	1295	0	6	3.85	1.561
276	EDUCYRS	R: Education I: years of schooling	F4.1	1314	1.0	99.0	16.815	15.3148
277	MAINSTAT	Resp: Main activity	F8	1314	1	99	5.98	16.452
278	SPMAINST	Partner: Main activity	F8	1314	0	99	6.63	20.361
279	wrkst	<none>	F8	1314	1	99	5.72	12.802
280	spwrkst	<none>	F8	1314	1	99	30.58	42.847
281	WORK	Resp: Paid work	F8	1314	1	9	1.56	.787
282	SPWORK	Partner: Paid work	F8	1314	1	9	3.57	3.374

283	ISCO88	Resp: Current-last occupation [ISCO-88]	F8	1314	0	9333	3408.94	2386.878
284	SPISCO88	Partner: Current-last occupation [ISCO-88]	F8	1314	0	9333	2796.02	2692.974
285	wrktype	<none>	F8	1314	1	9	2.82	2.023
286	spwrktyp	<none>	F8	1314	1	9	4.25	3.016
287	EMPREL	Resp: status in employment	F8	1314	0	9	1.51	1.653
288	SPEMPREL	Partner: status in employment	F8	1314	0	9	2.81	3.277
289	WRKSUP	Resp: supervising status	F8	1314	0	9	1.88	1.682
290	SPWRKSUP	Partner: supervising status	F8	945	1	2	1.67	.470
291	NSUP	Resp: number of subordinates	F8	1314	1	9999	6197.12	4852.789
292	NEMPLOY	Resp: number of employees	F8	137	0	15	4.88	5.829
293	WRKHRS	Resp: work hours	F8	1314	0	99	19.45	22.044
294	SPWRKHRS	Partner: work hours	F8	1314	0	99	38.55	37.247
295	TYPORG1	Resp: employer profit/non-profit	F8	1314	0	9	1.70	1.555
296	TYPORG2	Resp: employer government/non-government	F8	1314	0	9	1.83	1.532
297	NL_RINC	Resp: Personal income	F8	1263	0	999999	66651.06	246037.757
298	NL_INC	Household Income	F8	1276	0	999999	98843.53	294450.254
299	TOPBOT	R:Top Bottom self-placement 10 pt scale	F8	1314	1	99	10.01	18.688
300	UNION	R: Trade union membership	F8	1314	1	9	2.58	1.386
301	VOTE_LE	Voted at last national elections	F8	1314	0	9	1.36	1.533
302	NL_PRTY	Party chosen at last national election	F8	1314	0	99	6.83	18.019
303	PARTY_LR	R: Party affiliation: left-right (derived)	F8	1314	0	9	3.00	1.940
304	NL_RELIG	Religion	F3.1	1314	0.0	999.0	36.216	183.4583
305	relig	<none>	F8	1314	0	999	149.72	228.942
306	RELIGGRP	R: Religious main groups (derived)	F8	1266	0	10	1.18	1.634
307	ATTEND	R: Attendance of religious services	F8	1314	1	99	11.60	22.098
308	DATEYR	Year of interview	F8	1314	2013	2013	2013.00	0.000
309	DATEMO	Month of interview	F8	1314	2	9	3.16	.587
310	DATEDY	Day of interview	F8	1314	1	29	11.42	8.897
311	HOMPOP	How many persons in household	F8	1314	1	9	2.39	1.303
312	HHTODD	Number of young children (age 0-5) in household	F8	1314	0	3	.15	.495
313	HHCHILDR	Number of older children (age 6-17) in household	F8	1314	0	5	.28	.705
314	HHADULT	Number of adults in household	F8	1314	0	8	.25	.720
315	hhcycle	<none>	F4	1314	1	21	4.95	3.008
316	WEIGHT	Post-stratification weight [www1*www2]	F5.3	1314	.506	8.486	1.00000	.506317
317	MODE	Administrative mode of data-collection	F8	1314	34	34	34.00	0.000
318	SUBSCASE	Substituted case	F8	1314	0	0	0.00	0.000